Case 4:11-cv-00257-SBA Document 60 Filed 05/14/12 Page 1 of 3

1	Gregory G. Spaulding, Esq. (SBN 106606) Terry S. Sterling, Esq. (SBN 106379)		
2	SPAULDING McCULLOUGH & TANSIL LLP 90 South E Street, Suite 200		
3	P.O. Box 1867 Santa Rosa, CA 95402		
4	Telephone: (707) 524-1900 Facsimile: (707) 524-1906		
5	spaulding@smlaw.com; sterling@smlaw.com		
6	Attorneys for Defendant COUNTY OF NAPA		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
10	GAYLE BROCK,	Case No.: CV 11-0257 SBA	
11	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	
12	vs.	EXTENDING TIME TO FILE REPLY PAPERS	
13	COUNTY OF NAPA and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	Date: June 15, 2012	
14		Time: 1:30 pm Ctrm: G, 15 th Floor	
15	Defendants.	Magistrate Judge Joseph C. Spero	
16		PreTrial Conference: July 10, 2012 Trial Date: July 16, 2012	
17		. ,	
18	Plaintiff GAYLE BROCK and defendant COUNTY OF NAPA ("County") hereby enter into		
19	this Stipulation Extending Time To File Reply Pape	ers with reference to the following facts:	
20	On April 17, 2012, plaintiff filed her Motion to Preclude Defendant from Using Late		
21	Produced Documents at Trial; for Default or Dismissal in Favor of Plaintiff if it Pleases the Court; for		
22	Attorney Fees and Costs; and for Other Sanctions Deemed Appropriate by the Court ("Sanctions		
23	Motion"). The Sanctions Motion was originally set for hearing on May 22, 2012, but on April 24,		
24	2012, the Court continued the hearing date to June 15, 2012. The Court did not change the dates for		
25	opposition or reply papers. On May 1, 2012, the County filed its Opposition to the Sanctions Motion		
26	based on the briefing schedule mandated by the original May 22, 2012 hearing date.		
27	The deadline for filing reply papers based on the original briefing schedule is May 8, 2012. In		
28	order to properly address the numerous legal and factual issues raised by the Sanctions Motions,		

Case 4:11-cv-00257-SBA Document 60 Filed 05/14/12 Page 2 of 3

1	plaintiff requires additional time to file her reply papers. In light of the continuance of the hearing	
2	date for the Sanctions Motion, the requested extension of the deadline for filing reply papers will no	
3	unduly limit the time available for the Court to prepare for the hearing on the Motion.	
4	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between plaintiff GAYLE BROCK and defendant	
5	COUNTY OF NAPA, through their attorneys of record, that the deadline for reply papers to be filed	
6	in connection with the Sanctions Motion shall be continued to May 11, 2012.	
7 8	DATED: May 4, 2012	SPAULDING McCULLOUGH & TANSIL LLP Attorneys for Defendant COUNTY OF NAPA
9		
10		By: /s/ Terry S. Sterling Terry S. Sterling
11		Terry 5. Sterring
12	DATED: May 4, 2012	LAW OFFICE OF JESSE S. TURNER Attorneys for Plaintiff GAYLE BROCK
13		Theories for Frankin Office Brook
14		By:/s/ Jesse S. Turner
15		Jesse S. Turner, Esq.
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		2

1		[PROPOSED] ORDER
2	IT IS SO ORDERED.	TROPOSED ORDER STATES DISTRICT CO.
3		
4	DATED:5/14/12	U.S. DISTRICTO DEJUDGE
5		U.S. DISTRICTO Judge Joseph C. Spero Judge Joseph C. Spero
6		
7		DISTRICT OF CE
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
2728		
28		
	1	2